On July 29, 1991, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") entered an order transferring all asbestos personal injury cases pending in the federal courts to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, for coordinated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. That order also applies to "tag-along actions," or actions involving common questions of fact filed after January 17, 1991. Such actions are to be transferred to the eastern District of Pennsylvania as part of MDL 875, for coordinated pretrial proceedings.

The JPML has held that a district court has the authority to stay pending a transfer order. *In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation*, 170 F. Supp. 2d 1348, 1349 n.1 (J.P.M.L. 2001) ("[T]hose courts concluding that such issues should be addressed by the transferee judge need not rule on them, and the process of 1407 transfer in MDL-875 can continue without any unnecessary interruption or delay.")

The parties agree that it is likely that the JPML will transfer this matter to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

However, to date, the Clerk of the JPML has not entered a *Conditional Transfer Order* pursuant to JPML Rule 12(a) or filed an order to show cause why the action should not be transferred, pursuant to JPML Rule 13(b).

It is likely the dates set forth in the *Case Management Scheduling Order* filed May 18, 2006 (Document 2), including the deadlines imposed by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26, will come to pass before the Clerk of the JPML acts.

The parties make this Motion on the grounds that a stay of this action would (a) promote judicial efficiency, (b) allow consistency in pretrial rulings, and (c) be most convenient to the parties.

Due to the pending action by the Clerk of the JPML, the parties hereby STIPULATE to and respectfully request the Court VACATE its *Case Management Scheduling Order* filed May 18, 2006 (Document 2) and that the Court issue an Order STAYING this action pending the outcome of the MDL Panel's decision on the merits of the transfer.

Cascase06:06-03-288828880 EDLD obcourment not 9 Friled 1007/086/2000 6Page age 84of 4

	il	
1	In the alternative, the parties hereby STIPULATE to and respectfully request that the	
2	dates set forth in the Case Management Scheduling Order filed May 18, 2006 (Document 2),	
3	vacated and continued pending the outcome of the JPML's decision on the merits of the transfer.	
4	Specifically, these deadlines in this matter include the August 1, 2006 Rule 26 deadline to meet	
5	and confer, and file Joint ADR Certification, the August 1, 2006 Deadline to complete Initial	
6	Disclosures, the August 15, 2006 deadline to file the Joint Case Management Statement and the	
7	Case Management Conference currently set for August 22 , 2006 .	
8	Dated: June 29, 2006	BRAYTON❖PURCELL LLP
9		/s/ David R. Donadio
10	Ву:	
11		David R. Donadio Attorneys for Plaintiff
12		
13	Dated: July 6, 2006	SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN &
14		ARNOLD, LLP
15	Ву:	/s/ Damon McClain
16		Damon McClain Attorneys for Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
17		GENERAL ELECTRIC COIVIFAN I
18	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY	
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date and deadlines specified in the	
20	Case Management Scheduling Order filed May 18, 2006 (Document 2), are hereby VACATED	
21	and that this action is STAYED pending the outcome of the JPML's decision on the merits of the	
22	t ransfer.	
23	Dated:	
24		
25		
26		Elizabeth D. Laporte United States Magistrate Court Judge
27		
28	//	
	2	,
	K:\Injured\104460\fed\Stip stay or cont (WINNETT).wpd JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDING OR, DEADLINES – No.C06-3288 EDL	IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT
	DERIDER LES TIOICOU SECOLEDE	

1	[PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE] ORDER TO CONTINUE		
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date and deadlines specified in the		
3	Case Management Scheduling Order filed May 18, 2006 (Document 2), are hereby VACATED		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the following case management deadlines are		
5	continued as follows:		
6	1. Last day to meet and confer re initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR proces		
7	selection, and discovery plan is [set for a date on or after November 15, 2006 to wit:]		
8	November 28, 2006;		
9	2. Last day to file Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR process or		
10	<u> </u>		
11	November 28, 2006;		
12	3. Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection to Rule 26(f) Report,		
13	file/serve Case Management Statement and file/serve Rule 26(f) Report is [set for a date after		
14	November 15, 2006 to wit:] December 12, 2006; and		
15	4. The Case Management Conference is [set for a date after November 15, 2006 to		
16	wit:] December 19 2006 at 10:00 a.m., Courtroom "E", 15th Floor, 450 Golden Gate		
17	Avenue, San Francisco, California.		
18	Dated: _July 20, 2006		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED		
20			
21	Elizabeth Change Elizabeth D. Laporte United Scate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte		
22	DISTRICT OF CELL		
23	DISTRICT		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			